

## The Sunday Observer, London, England, August 9, 1998



### **They abused children (but only for research purposes)**

**Alfred Kinsey revolutionalised ideas of sexual development.  
What he did not reveal is that his data was supplied by paedophiles.**

By Tim Tate

Rex King, who molested at least 800 children, supplied the "research" that supported Alfred Kinsey's claim that children could enjoy sex from infancy. Photograph by Mo Palmer, Albuquerque Photo Museum [PHOTO ABOVE]

I have apparently developed "incurable brain damage". I have also abandoned documentary film-making in favour of "sensational twistings" and "cheap controversy", in the company of America's rabid Christian right. My "sins", I am advised, are "considerable".

This enlightening diagnosis is made by Dr. Clarence Tripp, psychoanalyst, sometime photographer and close confidant of the world's most famous sex scientist, the late Professor Alfred Kinsey.

My symptoms are simple enough: I have produced a documentary film that dares to challenge the scientific validity and morality of one part of Kinsey's monumental research into human sexuality (*Secret History: Kinsey's Paedophiles*--channel 4, tomorrow).

Kinsey, a professor of zoology at Indiana University, began his research in the Thirties--a time when, as his colleague Paul Gebhard explained, "everything was illegal except wet dreams". Over two decades Kinsey and his team carried out the biggest survey of sexual attitudes and behavior every undertaken. Kinsey published the data in impressive scientific detail in two books, *Sexual Behavior in the Human Male* (1948) and *Sexual Behavior in the Human Female* (1953).

Each book included separate chapters on child sexuality. Chapter 5 of the 'Male' volume set the tone by concluding that children were fully fledged sexual beings from birth. Kinsey specifically denounced the prevailing Freudian view that child sexuality was latent--and that during this period they needed protection. Kinsey insisted that--with the right assistance--children could enjoy "orgasms" from the moment they were born.

Curiously no one seemed to question the basis of this revolutionary claim. For almost 40 years it was simply accepted at face value. Then, in the Eighties, Judith Reisman, an American academic researching sex in the media, re-examined the seemingly scientific tables and text of Chapter 5. Reisman quickly discovered that up to nine paedophiles had sent Kinsey diaries detailing their abuse of children; he had reproduced their contents as scientific "proof" of children's sexuality.

Reisman was particularly concerned by four tables in Chapter 5 which described children's capacity for orgasms. Depending on how the tables were interpreted, between 317 and

1,800 boys--from two months to 15 years--seemed to have been used in experiments designed to discover the precise time it took them to achieve orgasm. Since the tables showed infants of five months achieving multiple orgasms, it seemed likely that an adult had been involved. Reisman wrote to the Kinsey Institute seeking clarification. She received a remarkably frank letter back from the then director--and former colleague of Kinsey--Dr. Paul Gebhard. In it he confirmed her suspicions:

Since sexual experimentation was illegal we have had to depend upon other sources of data .... Some of these .... were homosexual males interested in .... prepubertal children. One ... had numerous contacts with male and female infants and children and being of a scientific bent kept detailed records of each encounter.

Gebhard went on to explain that the paedophiles had masturbated the children--manually or orally--to produce the orgasms Kinsey described in Chapter 5. It was to be the last frank and revealing letter Reisman would receive from the Kinsey Institute. She wanted to know who the paedophiles were--and how they had got access to the children. Instead of receiving answers, she found herself on the receiving end of a hostile press campaign by the new director of the Kinsey Institute. "I had clearly touched on something they didn't want dealt with in public. I was questioning the unquestionable--Kinsey's research and his reputation as a reliable scientist. And for that they were clearly out to get me."

Reisman remains a highly unpopular figure with Kinsey's surviving colleagues, and with the Institute he founded. They accuse her of being part of the coalition of groups aligned to America's Christian Right. And it's certainly true that these groups--from Concerned Women of America to RSVP--have adopted her and her campaign.

But Reisman is her own woman. "I was born a Jew and raised a [communist]". And what is beyond doubt is that behind Kinsey's prolix phrasing is something very nasty indeed: the abuse of several hundred children by men who he encouraged to mail their data to Indiana.

When we set about investigating how such a respected scientist came to public accounts of child abuse by paedophiles as evidence that children enjoy sex with adults, we discovered that Kinsey's relationships with habitual child molesters was considerably more extensive than had ever been revealed.

Curiously, Kinsey's colleagues did not want to deny his relationships with paedophiles; they wanted to celebrate them. Clarence Tripp--hired by Kinsey to make films of men masturbating--is particularly proud of his mentor's association with a man who abused 800 pre-pubescent boys and girls.

Describing the paedophile--whom we discovered to have been a US government land examiner called Rex King--as "super scientific", Tripp insisted his victims "all thought he was wonderful." Pausing for a minute he corrected himself; "There were two young girls who....agreed to the sexual contact but then found it very painful. This was because they were very young and had small genitalia and [King] was a grown man with enormous genitalia. And there was a fit problem."

Paul Gebhard defends Kinsey's use of King's data because it was unique--which is rather the point. If, as the Institute now maintains, much of Chapter 5 of the "Male" volume was provided by Kinsey with no independent verification, in purely scientific terms how can it be relied on?

The current Kinsey Institute director, John Bancroft, somewhat grudgingly accepts that it might be dubious, but has republished both volumes with no qualification or caveat. His predecessor Paul Gebhard insists that King's reports were trustworthy "because he reported his failures [children who rejected his sexual overtures] as well as his successes."

And, Clarence Tripp is adamant that Kinsey's diaries of sexual abuse contained such precise detail that they were self-evidently scientific--though he concedes that while simultaneously writing them and molesting children, the paedophile was also masturbating himself.

As we laboured on our film I was struck by the seemingly rational way Tripp makes his extraordinary claims. I had to consult the tapes again to be sure we have transcribed them correctly. When I did I came to the reluctant conclusion that it wasn't me who had suffered damage to my mental faculties.

# BRITISH TELEVISION'S PROGRAM, "SECRET HISTORY:" "KINSEY'S PAEDOPHILES"

EXCERPTS FROM TRANSCRIPTS TAKEN FROM VIDEO TAPED INTERVIEWS  
USED IN THE PRODUCTION OF THE DOCUMENTARY,  
"KINSEY'S PAEDOPHILES."

WORKING PAPER FOR DISCUSSION PURPOSES ONLY  
COMPILED BY JUDITH REISMAN, PH.D., 8/26/98

[The Kinsey Institute] already moved Kinsey's notorious sex-films to a secret location. And they have vowed to destroy painstakingly accumulated material (including a \$40 million erotic art collection almost never seen) if the police arrive with warrants--as the Tate documentary suggests is desirable....I'm afraid a lot of material has probably already been destroyed.... I think it's inevitable that things will be got rid of. They're under siege.....Tate....marks Kinsey down as a fifth-columnist gay man--the very image of deceit and lies.

Jonathan Gathorne-Hardy, Kinsey Institute insider and Kinsey biographer in the London *Independent*, "Its Time To Ditch The Dirt" August 10, 1998)

**NOTE:** "INT" refers to the interviewer, Tim Tate, the producer/director of "Kinsey's Paedophiles" for Yorkshire Television, London, England. "**JAR**" refers to the author, Judith A. Reisman. All items in capitals are words spoken by the interviewer. Unless in brackets [ ] all of the text is spoken by the designated interviewee. **The quotes that are in bold and italics indicate the remarks that are especially revealing.**

*Full transcripts are in the archives of the Yorkshire Television and copies are in the author's archive.*

**INTRODUCTION:** The following are excerpts from some transcribed interviews taken from a recent documentary produced by Tim Tate and the English Yorkshire Television. The documentary was broadcast in England on August 10, 1998. The series is entitled, "*Secret History*", the broadcast: "*Kinsey's Paedophiles.*" Current Kinsey Institute Director Dr. Bancroft and Dr. Judith Reisman's interviews are not included here but are forthcoming.

Dr. Paul Gebhard, Kinsey co-author, states on camera that the Kinsey team solicited child abusers and obtained child "sexuality" data from pedophiles as well as a pedophile organization. Off camera Gebhard mentions that this organization was either NAMBLA (the North American Man Boy Love Association) or its predecessor. Kinsey's "technically trained" sex researchers, a group of criminal sexual psychopaths, were redefined by Kinsey as his child sex experts, men whose sexual 'expertise' was knowingly used to abolish American laws and to change public opinion regarding attitudes toward human and animal sexuality. According to the Yorkshire television research department, the correct name of Kinsey's Arizona serial pedophile rapist is "Rex King." Wherever Green appears the actual name of the pedophile is King.

The quotes are excerpted from interviews with 1) Paul Gebhard, Kinsey co-author, past director of the Kinsey Institute and long-term courtroom and legislative expert witness, 2) Jonathan Gathorne-Hardy, a current British Kinsey biographer; 3) James Jones, author of *Alfred C. Kinsey: A Public/Private Life* (1997); 4) William Dallenback, Kinsey Institute photographer and Kinsey's sex performer/partner; 5) Clarence Tripp, Kinsey photographer and subsequent expert witness, psychologist, pornography and human sexuality "expert;" 6) Esther,

testifying to her incestuous abuse for Kinsey's study and 7) press clippings about the trial of Dr. Fritz von Balluseck, Kinsey nazi war criminal, serial pedophile rapist and Kinsey child sex "expert." End

---

**1) DR. PAUL GEBHARD INTERVIEWED BY TIM TATE AT INDIANA UNIVERSITY, IN THE KINSEY INSTITUTE LIBRARY UNDER THE AEGIS OF JOHN BANCROFT, KINSEY INSTITUTE DIRECTOR. GEBHARD IS KINSEY CO-AUTHOR & PAST DIRECTOR OF THE KINSEY INSTITUTE (May 1998)**

**GEBHARD:** [A German wrote] to Kinsey, telling him that he was a paedophile....*[Kinsey] wrote him questions in the letter and they carried on quite a correspondence....*Police [seeking a child sex murderer] went through his possessions....*found his correspondence with Kinsey....they got Interpol....and the FBI....put....pressure on Kinsey to reveal the guy's....sexual diary....Kinsey said, absolutely not....[T]he poor paedophile...had his reputation destroyed ....finally quit corresponding with us. [More detail at the conclusion of these interview quotes].*

**GEBHARD:** [We are committed to] destroying the records....*I even thought about it, recently, when somehow the politicians started getting interested in the institute....a senator from Texas....a local senator in Indiana, Burton, he wanted the institute investigated. They said, oh, you probably have case histories of sex criminals and we'd like to get those records so we can catch these people....then we seriously thought what would happen if we started facing court orders and if the search people came in with a warrant to seize what we had....we've made precautions ....I had to turn down the FBI....the police....had a warrant out for my arrest.*

**GEBHARD:** Kinsey spoke to the....Wolfenden Committee....*to revise English sex law....he testified before various committees that were interested in law reform, particularly the Wolfenden Committee. ....That caused quite a change in British law and notably in law in the United States. The changes that were made, the first changes were to decriminalize consenting behavior between heterosexual adults. And then....many of the States dropped their sodomy laws, because they considered any mouth/genital contact sordid, even in marriage....[which] changed quickly. And the penalties for pre-marital intercourse were largely dropped or ignored. Homosexuality still remained taboo until even after Kinsey's death and finally, thanks to the American Psychiatric Association, they dropped it from the list of mental disorders.*

**GEBHARD:** [The Arizona pedophile who raped 800 children, Rex King--known in the Kinsey files as "Green" had sex with men, women, children and animals.... Nursery school people....parents....couldn't give us the extraordinary detail that Green did . It was illegal and we knew it was illegal and that's why a lot of people are furious....they say we should have turned him in instantly....*if we had turned him in it would have been the end of our research project.*

**GEBHARD:** Any good scientist that studies knows children are sexual beings....*little males get erections even in the uterus. They are sexual from the word go....Green....contributed a fair amount to our knowledge....and medicine's knowledge of sexuality in children. We made our point that children are sexual from birth.*

**GEBHARD:** Judith Reisman....[on] this famous table 34 that had the data on children....hit the ceiling...the data came from....a good piece of it came from Green....parents....physicians....we just added it all together.

**INT: HOW DID KINSEY COME IN CONTACT WITH SAY. THE PAEDOPHILES?**

**GEBHARD:** *That was rather easy. We got in prisons, a lot of them....we'd go after them....Then there was also a paedophile organization in this country....they cooperated....some....not incarcerated, they came and gave us information....You had one in Britain...a British Paedophile Organization.*

**GEBHARD:** We made no secret that we were studying sex offenders....Nobody, no one complained....[Y]ou've gotta study criminals so we can combat crime.... *Most of the bizarre cases didn't get in the main volume, frankly.*

## 2) JONATHAN GATHORN HARDY, KINSEY'S MOST RECENT ENGLISH BIOGRAPHER (June 1998)

**HARDY:** We know in 1940 that he was telling his team you should experiment sexually.... *People didn't know how babies were born....[or] whether masturbation might kill you, most of them....*

**HARDY:** The laws in America about sex were outrageous...Kinsey [said] the whole of America would go to prison, 95% of Americans for what they normally did in bed together.... *In Indiana there was even a law against an offense called encouraging to masturbate.... this was horrifying....outrageous....filled Kinsey with great anger....Until we know what people do we can't help them.*

**HARDY:** He was astonished at the number of homosexuals....[Kinsey was] sexually excited....[He went] to urinals....had sex in....tearooms....It would have been disastrous....He could have gone to prison....to protect his particular desires from the outside world....A lot...was done in the War....the War restricted him...as to petrol and things....

**HARDY:** Kinsey....[was] tentative about asking someone to have sex with him....Pomeroy....with whom...Kinsey did have sex....The team slept together and had sex together both the wives and the husbands and Kinsey had both. The sex and the staff....took place in Kinsey's house. Where else I don't know. It had to be kept secret.

**HARDY:** Amateurs sent films...Kinsey was incapable of delegation. He delegated nothing....he did everything....the Methodist preacher....emerging with a religion he believes in , a scientific religion....towards sexual behavior...do what you want. This is a field where you are not going to hurt people....provided everyone consents it doesn't matter what you do.

**HARDY:** *He was deeply affected by five paedophile headmasters who....had....loving relationships with young adolescent boys of twelve or thirteen.... You certainly cannot take the word of paedophile headmasters.....*

**HARDY:** All the papers except the *New York Times* with whom he'd had a row, had it either on the *front pages* or very very big in the middle pages. *All the magazines, Life, Time,* had six or seven page spreads about it...It was compared to the Atom Bomb....papers, all the magazines, all the radio stations were covering it massively and then recovering it to answer the readers letters. It was, it was like a President dying. Perhaps that's putting it rather strongly but it was far greater than any other book had ever been or has ever been since.

## PART II HARDY TAPE

**HARDY:** The reason the Kinsey Institute is so careful....is that some of the things they have [are]....evidence of sexual behaviors that even now are illegal. *They are nervous that sons or grandsons will sue them if they let this information out.* So they had to be very, very careful that names are not revealed in that way.

**HARDY:** [Re: Rex King, the pedophile] Eight or nine typewritten volumes [were] typed up by Kinsey's wife....prior to 1945, which was, you know, before Kinsey admitted. Green [the pedophile] went on having sex with everybody until the end...long after Kinsey got the journals. The material in that chapter almost entirely [chapter 5] came from Green's journals which Kinsey got in 1944/45.

**HARDY:** *He would masturbate little boys, tiny little boys, babies at 15 or 16 months. People don't normally do that....Very small children can have orgasms, tiny children. There are even scans of a boy sort of playing with his cock in the womb. He knew the material would be less scientifically considered if he did reveal his source.*

## INT YOU READ THESE [PEDOPHILE] JOURNALS?

**HARDY:** The point is this....*I daren't put this on film. I did read them, but Bancroft doesn't want me to say I read them. Bancroft says that if the people know I read them they will go to him and say, you've let one scholar have them, and I'm not going to do that.* So what I had to say in my book is that I closely questioned Bancroft and Gebhard about the contents of the journals, but I didn't read them. *In fact, I did read them. But I can't say I read them.*

**HARDY:** The journals....*Green describing having sex with this....little girl, this little boy or this man or this pig....I think the Kinsey Institute felt....right wing figures.... would pluck out things....I think they are right to keep them undercover because they*

*are not dealing with scrupulous scholars, they are dealing with people out to wreck them....don't put this in but there are descriptions of Green bugging boys nigh on 13....boys sort of enjoys it but doesn't enjoy it. I mean it's quite sort of harsh stuff some of it.*

**HARDY:** They [The Kinsey Institute] are nervous, *people will read the journals and identify someone in them....*

INT: SUPPOSING SOMEBODY...FOUND ONE OF THESE PEOPLE THAT GREEN...THEY MIGHT WELL SUE YOU...PRESUMABLY THE KINSEY...IN REPRODUCING THESE IN THE VOLUME, MADE MONEY OUT OF IT.

**HARDY:** You mean [the data] in that chapter? I don't think you can sue for that can you? You can sue for defamation of character and slander, but if you are unidentified, if your are just a statistic?....As a scientist I thought he was marvelous, exemplary.

3) DR. JIM JONES----KINSEY'S AMERICAN BIOGRAPHER (June 1998),  
ROCKEFELLER AND KINSEY INSTITUTE GRANTEE, DOCTORAL  
DISSERTATION ON KINSEY INSTITUTE

**JONES:** The only sex sanctioned by society and its legal strictures in the 20s and 30s is sex between *people who are married* and even then, there are some...arcane laws about even husbands and wives....the whole thrust of the society is towards *social control...Masturbation is illegal, fornication is illegal, adultery is illegal, homosexuality is illegal....sex outside of marriage is pathology, sin and crime.*

JIM JONES, PART II

**JONES:** *The Kinsey myth....he cultivated....[the] official version that Kinsey was prevailed upon by students to offer a sex education class....part of a larger [mythology] of the disinterested scientist, the person with no ax to grind, no vested interest, no desire to influence policy one way or the other, a kind of simple 19th century empiricist who is just collecting, assembling, and presenting data, a Victorian metric minded, morally neutral, totally dispassionate investigator who simply sees a hole in the literature....to just serve his students and science.....*

**JONES:** *Kinsey is in a war, okay, with middle class morality as it prescribes and constrains sexual behavior....Kinsey's cultivation of the image of the disinterested scientist was....the scientific armour that he put on to....keep individuals....off the trail....And it enables the state legislature to believe the University when it talks about academic freedom and academic integrity....all part of the strategy that President Wells....used when explaining, justifying Kinsey before the state legislature....*

shielding, protecting an investigator against a potentially hostile environment. With Kinsey the personal is always political. I don't think his personal needs are always very far from the work.

**JONES:** *When Kinsey becomes a sex researcher he picks up the heaviest club he can find, which is science, to fight back against prescribed morality....he wants [his staff] to understand that as scientists they are not bound, okay, by bourgeois morality....he **builds a staff where there is some wife swapping....gay contacts....**[for] both professional and private [needs].*

[IF THE PUBLIC KNEW]

**JONES:** *There is no way that the American public in the 1940s and the 1950s would have sanctioned any form of behavior that violated middle class morality on the part of the scientist who was telling the public that he was disinterested and giving them the simple truth....Any disclosure of any feature of his private life that violated middle class morality would have been catastrophic for his career....For Kinsey, life in the closet came complete with a wife, children, a public image that again he preserved at all cost. Kinsey's reputation still in large measure rests upon an image of him that he cultivated during his lifetime...the official mystique....*

**JONES** Kinsey and other members of the Institute staff show[ed] up in Vincent Nowlis's room, inviting him to disrobe with the clear understanding that sexual activity would follow....[Two male students, Brayland and Coons]....worked under Kinsey's supervision ....in 1934/35....numerous episodes....nude....and whatnot nude....[There is an explicit] photograph of Kinsey in the buff....on that trip....masturbation sessions....group masturbation ....Both of the young men....are....trying to keep Kinsey at arms length....

INT: DID BRAYLAND'S WIFE....HAVE A VIEW ON THIS?

**JONES** I can tell you that....she didn't like Alfred Kinsey. [She responded] that they were just kids from Mississippi and that Alfred Kinsey hurt them.

**JONES** Kinsey, in one report circumcised himself with a pocket knife without anesthesia or anything else....pretty heavy masochistic behavior....tying a rope around his scrotum and throwing the rope over a pole and trying to suspend himself using that rope and sort of jumping off a chair....in Wiley Hall....he had pain for sex...

**JONES:** Kinsey and the people who were close to him were very proud of the [sex] filming ....the risk that felon behavior entailed....The filming that goes on involves both staff members themselves and a few invited guests.



INT: JUNE REINISCH SWORE IN AN AFFIDAVIT SAYING THAT THE KINSEY INSTITUTE NEVER FILMED ANY SEXUAL EXPERIMENTATION.

**JONES:** *I saw some of the films....when I took Paul Gebhard's class on human sexual behavior, when I was a graduate student...[After Reisman's] charges were made that Kinsey was a pedophile I was asked by June Reinisch, she was the director of the Kinsey Institute at that time, to investigate those charges and report back to her....when I did see films of Kinsey masturbating. I saw films of Mrs. Kinsey masturbating. If memory serves I saw some films of staff having sex 12 or 13 years ago. Whether they exist today or not I can't say because I don't know.*

**JONES:** *Kinsey relied upon this [pedophile]....for the chapter on childhood sexuality in the male volume.....I think that he was in the presence of pathology at large and...Kinsey....really disgraced...elevated to, you know the realm of scientific information....what should have been dismissed as unreliable, self serving data provided by a predatory pedophile.*

INT: WHAT DAMAGE MIGHT HAVE BEEN DONE TO THOSE CHILDREN BY THAT MAN?

**JONES:** *I don't have any doubt in my own mind that man wreaked havoc in a lot of lives. Many of his victims were infants and Kinsey in that chapter himself gives pretty graphic descriptions of their response to what he calls sexual stimulation. If you read those words, what he's talking about is kids who are screaming. Kids who are protesting in every way they can the fact that their bodies or their persons are being violated....a large number.*

INT: DO YOU THINK IT IS RIGHT THAT THE INSTITUTE CONTINUES TO PROTECT HIM? WHICH IS MORE IMPORTANT, CONFIDENTIALITY OR THOSE CHILDREN?

**JONES:** *In my mind those children.*

INT: FROM THE POINT OF VIEW OF THE CHRISTIAN RIGHT...THIS MAN... ABUSED THESE CHILDREN, CAUSED THEM PAIN, SUFFERING, AND KINSEY USED HIS MATERIAL AS A SUBSTANTIAL PART OF THE CHAPTER ON CHILD SEXUALITY.

**JONES:** *I don't think the Christian right is wrong on that. I think they have their right to be outraged....political ideology really doesn't have much to do with people's reactions to child abuse....a civil evil.*

INT: FORMER COLLEAGUES OF KINSEY SAY THIS MAN CAN'T HAVE DONE ANY HARM BECAUSE NO ONE EVER COMPLAINED.

**JONES:** *I find that argument vacuous and unpersuasive. How did they know they didn't complain. The person who was rendering that information is the same person who abused them. It seems to me that they have as much credibility as a rapist would have, saying that the victim enjoyed the rape.*

**4) BILL DALLENBECK, KINSEY PHOTOGRAPHER, FELLOW PORNOGRAPHY PERFORMER, STILL IN RESIDENCE AT INDIANA UNIVERSITY, MAY 4, 1998**

**DALLENBECK:** You don't find out about what pedophiles think and do [unless] you talk to a man who has done pedophile....there is nothing like going to first sources and photographing you see....I photographed everything in the human animal when we could arrange it....if the FBI were to come, demand to see our histories, I would destroy them first.

**DALLENBECK:** Jones....injected all of this other moralistic stuff....outrageous....The horrible thing is that some of the important people in the United States joined Reisman ....I heard that lecture that Reisman gave here and it was incredible.

**DALLENBECK:** Nothing has been destroyed to my knowledge. *We haven't had that necessity yet to do that....I quoted you what Kinsey told me and we all felt the same way and we would never willingly give information away to anybody....*

INT: RE: STAFF--SEX SESSIONS, MUTUAL PLAY....DID YOU TAKE PART IN THOSE AND IF NOT WHY NOT?

**DALLENBECK:** I would prefer not to talk about it....to some extent this does not belong in the hands of the public. That was directly personal and scientifically done in that way so I'd rather not even talk about that.

**5) DR. CLARENCE TRIPP, KINSEY'S PHOTOGRAPHER, LATER A PSYCHOLOGIST, PORNOGRAPHY & HOMOSEXUALITY EXPERT WITNESS**

**TRIPP:** The sexual climate...was very uptight both here and in England....inhibition is greatly damaging to sex research....morality, whatever, on sex research....People were put in jail for almost nothing...He was an established professor who could go anywhere and do anything.

**TRIPP:** Even [Kinsey's] enemies today, people like Judith Reisman and Concerned Women of America ....These moralists go around, horrified at the fact that quote unquote, Kinsey used pedophiles to get information. Well, its true that Green....had intercourse with hundreds of males and females of every conceivable age....It was Mr. Green's girlfriend who did the whole thing [the stop watch records of table 34] her own daughter [but the tables were all of boys].

**TRIPP:** *The children [with whom he had sex] thought he was wonderful, all the mothers thought he was wonderful. There was no force, no damage, no harm, no pain....[just] two instances in which a young boy or girl – agreed to the sexual contact but then they found it very painful and yelled out when it actually took place. This was because they were very young and had small genitalia and Green was a grown man with enormous genitalia and there was a fit problem. But even there, there was no, never enough complaint to get him into any trouble. A very important observation.*

**TRIPP:** Green was absolutely super clever. He rented himself out as a baby sitter part of the time ....He did everything....Kinsey...has a thing in there defining six kinds of orgasm....alerted to by Green. Then he [Kinsey] looked for himself....and it turned out that Green's observations were terribly feasible....So, before the book was published, they packed off the galleys to Green....[and] Green...patched it all up again....Kinsey was himself a super-expert at child sexuality, a super observer....[Green] was the only man I ever knew who could, who was more sensitive than Kinsey at looking at that [child sex] material... Most of this material eventually got transferred to the Institute for Sex Research.

INT: HOW SCIENTIFIC WAS THE DATA?

**TRIPP:** The best in the world. Kinsey had a huge store of films done by myself, Bill Dallenbeck and other people....Kinsey...would say show me, or do you mind if I watch, or let me come over....Yes, yes. Whenever possible Kinsey did validate it....

INT: WHAT YOU'RE SAYING THEN IS THAT IT IS POSSIBLE THAT KINSEY PERSONALLY VALIDATED GREEN'S MATERIAL [THE SEX WITH CHILDREN]?

**TRIPP:** *Oh I don't doubt it. He poked into, he looked at everything. If he had time....he often had to have these things photographed because he simply didn't have time....[Kinsey] was in the market for everything....people who are into special things, love to document it. And it seems to rev them up if they mark it down on a calendar....*

**TRIPP:** *Kinsey had at least ten motility studies going.*

**JAR:** Note: ["Motility" studies would be microscopic studies of sperm, commonly studied by Kinsey to see at what age small boys and youths create mature sperm capable of fertility. This would involve sex experimentation among children, encouraging masturbation or adult manipulation of the boys to yield ejaculate which would be studied for sperm content and motility].

**TRIPP:** *If you go out and masturbate dogs—I was very good at this when I was a boy--the dog will love you to pieces because the dog has no efficient way to masturbate. He loves the orgasm as much as anybody else but he can't self produce it. Now you just do this a time or two. The dogs do various....things. You try this on all the neighborhood dogs....Some dogs will always expect or try to talk you into doing it....Other dogs will come to any human and say, please touch me here in a certain kind of way.*

INT: DO YOU BELIEVE THAT KINSEY VIEWED GREEN'S ACTIONS AND MATERIAL AS ETHICALLY ACCEPTABLE?

**TRIPP:** *Totally....he is clean as a whistle. Where it counts he is very clean. Nobody is objecting ....he had sex with all these relatives and brothers and sisters and aunts....but nobody is objecting. He makes it pleasant.*

**PART II: TRIPP INTERVIEW**

INT: IF KINSEY STATES SOMETHING AS A FACT, CAN WE BE CERTAIN THAT HE OBSERVED IT HIMSELF?

**TRIPP:** *Almost always....there is no mention of his observing people. But he did. He wanted to see everything. This is a hands-on scientist... he had to see it to really believe it.*

**TRIPP:** Reisman is outraged and has been from the first. She was treated very nicely by Gebhard who didn't know any better at first and she wrote questions in her letters and he tried to answer every question until she asked that he send her Xeroxes of peoples history. Then he knew what he was dealing with.

**JAR:** TRIPP GIVES SPEECH HERE ABOUT REISMAN WHO HE SAYS CLAIMS KINSEY'S DATA CAUSED GIRLS & BOYS TO LOSE THEIR VIRGINITY.

INT: I'VE PROBABLY GOT THIS WRONG BUT I THOUGHT SHE WAS COMPLAINING ABOUT THE USE OF CHILDREN.

**TRIPP:** Oh she throws....in anything that might do damage to Kinsey...that he took histories of young children, which is true....which sounds like there was sex going on....She instantly....he took from criminals this knowledge and pumps it into his research and spoils everything....

**TRIPP:** [Lectures on female orgasm] *If we could only get those children with some kind of masturbation or something that would rev up their sexual substrate at an early time it would fix people like Judith Reisman immediately because then they'd respond and then they'd know what the rest of the world was like.*

**TRIPP:** I got hold of a young....German boy....prostitute....photographed this German boy who was having an affair with one of the younger ones....This is the picture.

INT: WHAT DO YOU THINK SOMEONE LIKE REISMAN WOULD MAKE OF YOU HOLDING A PICTURE LIKE THAT?

**TRIPP:** Oh she would turn it into the next lovely statement. I enjoy all of her statements however. They're mostly lies but they're interesting lies! ....This would probably be the

epitome of child corruption in her mind....In Reisman's mind this would probably be the epitome of adolescent or child corruption of some kind...

**TRIPP:** This *backlash* against Kinsey....will make him non-considered by laymen out there. *It won't affect the sex researchers* because they'll know better....

**INT:** ITS BEEN SAID THAT KINSEY SHOULD HAVE TURNED IN PAEDOPHILES.

**TRIPP:** Oh yes, I love that the best....Kinsey's answer was beautiful. You are talking about 95% of our sample which is the number who have committed jailable offenses. So, you want the whole batch done or only particular ones....

**TRIPP:** Paedophilia is an almost non-existent kind of crime ... For instance they use words like child molestation. What is that? Nobody knows. Abuse of children? Are they talking about boxing them against the ear or hitting them with a stove pipe? Are they talking about tickling them a little? Are you talking about fondling?

**TRIPP:** *I hesitate to even call Green a paedophile. It is true he had some children, his girlfriend did most of the really young ones....If you have paedophilia between an older male and a young boy is that homosexual?...It's that they are playing in a way ...*

**TRIPP:** [Kinsey] is irreplaceable...touched things and did things that haven't been matched. Jones [offered] to share the royalties with [the Kinsey Institute]....he wrote out a cheque for \$16,000, sent it to the Institute....

**TRIPP:** I remind you that Judith Reisman and her Family Services group, not to mention the Concerned Women of America....under her aegis also, go and do things like give lectures at the Indiana University....And you have this continual torture.

**INT:** THE INSTITUTE SAYS THAT KINSEY NEVER HAD ANY CONTACT WITH PAEDOPHILES, IT WAS JUST ONE MAN.

**TRIPP:** Kinsey had contact with all that he could find. It's true that nobody would he trust as much as Green but who would?

## 6) ESTHER, INCEST SURVIVOR REPORTING HER ABUSE AS NON-CONSENSUAL CHILD SEX "DATA"

### FOR KINSEY'S REPORT FILMED IN FRONT OF I. U. BUILDINGS

*Following are excerpts from Esther's interview taken during the video taping at the Indiana University in various cites including at Indiana University.*

**ESTHER:** My grandfather was a student here...when Alfred Kinsey was here....in a biology class in 1922....My father actually did mail some questionnaires....I believe, to the Kinsey Institute about the sexual abuse that he was doing on me....since 1938, which

makes me about four years old....I found the papers on the table and [my father] grabbed them quickly and said, he had to send them back, there was a deadline he had to make. I had ....to meet with him and with Alfred Kinsey....Alfred Kinsey asked me some questions, was I happy....did I love my daddy? Of course, I was instructed ....to be very nice to this man, that he was a very famous man....the conflict of emotions [in the sex abuse] actually ended up in convulsions....it was crying and uncontrollable shaking....

**ESTHER:** At the very peak of when all the abuse was going on, there was a time when there was a paper in a brown envelope and it....had little questions on it, with little blocks in front of it....**but I didn't understand one of the words....orgasm....my father explained to me what an orgasm was. And he asked me to let him know when there was an orgasm. He always looked at his watch.....he said, he had a deadline to meet and you had to send [the paper] away. So he put it in this envelope and I have never seen it since.....**

**ESTHER:** But about 1947....he had this book....Alfred Kinsey's book--The Sexual Behavior of a Human Male. He told me there were typographical errors in it, that it wasn't quite finished....it was a pre-published edition....He said, this book will change the way the world looks at sexuality....I know he had a....camera that he used, but I don't know how much he took....one incident he could have taken...in the act...There was one time that may have been photographed ....there was one time when I do remember it [a movie camera] was running and he says, oh, don't pay attention to that. So, I don't know whether a film was made or not that he sent to Alfred Kinsey....You could only be a little girl to understand that it couldn't possibly be enjoyed. That was slavery....

**ESTHER:** I was manipulated into telling Alfred Kinsey that I was a happy child. I was not a happy child. I was an obedient child....There is no science in that. There is lust. There is sin....it had to be educational and so he had to be able to say that children were sexual if you were going to have sex with children....in his own family I am sure. **Anyone who writes a book like that has got to be doing it himself.....Alfred Kinsey set up his little empire to abuse children. I feel I was raped by Alfred Kinsey.**

**ESTHER:** I'm looking for who paid my father the \$6,000 down payment on that little house.... If the files were open, I would know...I walk through the campus [of Indiana University] and find it beautiful. **But I was struck by the evil that comes out of that building...even now. I'm sorry....the harm it's doing our children today....There has got to be power in some very high places, because some very important people have tried and failed. And I know that I have a right to find out.....**

**ESTHER:** They are fanning the flames of pedophilia. I know there is a lot of money in pornography and the trafficking of children....50 years ago...they published

the human sexuality of the American male. That wasn't the....American male; that was a lie....I think what he did, at least in my case was use the figures for incest in the 1953 book he did. Now I understand, they have passed on that incest information onto someone else who is publishing a book and that makes me angry....They didn't ask my permission to publish....It isn't just the United States, it's the world that they keep publishing these books in

**ESTHER:** I really would like to know the truth....It's going to take an act of congress to do that. It was tried once, but it didn't go far enough. It didn't actually open up the files of the Kinsey Institute....[T]hey are perpetuating that information through the psychological association....telling the psychologists of this country and this world and giving them awards in perpetuating the lie that children like to be molested and that's a lie....I went into a psychologist myself and I found Kinsey's lies coming right back at me. And then I realized that the Kinsey Institute is teaching the psychologist, I just got through paying money to see....most people seek [help] in psychology or a psychiatrist that was trained by Alfred Kinsey....when I saw the agenda that they had for the Quad S Association, I realized why that psychologist didn't help me, and the children that are left to deal with this.

**ESTHER:** I'm sure that some of them committed suicide....multiple divorces....lives have been ruined. My life has been ruined....Those children from Kinsey's books ....are probably still suffering. I am still suffering....it pains me to think about it but those children are probably my age now

**ESTHER:** [The Kinsey books] are republished. I would like to stop that....I'm seeing evidence of that in our curriculum. I do research of curriculum for the public schools in our school district. I am right now reviewing a health text book, I have reviewed other health text books and they quote Alfred Kinsey's book of fifty years ago....if a new book is published, reams of that information is going to be used in our public schools and perpetuate the lie again. Who is financing it....it had nothing to do with science. It had to do with perversion.

**ESTHER:** *Those archives need to be opened up so people can understand that if they feel they were connected with the Kinsey Institute that they can go back and know for sure....they used me and they used those children and that is a terrible way to feel, to feel that you've been used for a lie, and they perpetuated it so that it would happen again....* Those archives need to be opened to find out who financed this, where the money went and when we find that out I think we'll find out that the pornography industry has benefited....Kinsey had an awful lot of people who helped him with this. They are all across this country, the fathers and the people who gave him, whatever statistics that he took down....lies....I blame whoever helped him.

**ESTHER:** My grandfather's perpetuation to my father was generational, and I think that's what Alfred Kinsey was after....[The Kinsey Institute] priority was what they wanted to do, not what was right. Their whole willingness to publish a book was paramount in their minds....They didn't think that molesting children was wrong, so they didn't want to interrupt it, the abuse that was going on. They wanted that to continue, that is what they are doing this book for....evil people perpetuating evil....They don't know what right is....whatever they do is okay in their eyes because they don't have any sense of anything except what they want to do.

**7) PRESS CLIPPINGS REPORTING ON DR. VON BALLUSECK, KINSEY'S GERMAN NAZI CHILD ABUSE COLLABORATOR, ON TRIAL FOR CHILD SEX ABUSE AND CHILD MURDER, BERLIN GERMANY, 1957**

**THREE PARAGRAPH INTRODUCTION BY  
Judith A. Reisman, Ph.D.**

**JAR:** Two of Kinsey's *documented* nazi sex collaborators were the convicted spy for the Germans in the halls of power in America, the infamous George Sylvester Viereck and Dr. Friedrich Karl Hugo Viktor von Balluseck. The former, Viereck, was "one of the...masterminds of the propaganda cabinet that Germany set up here early in the war," while the latter, Balluseck, was tried in Berlin in 1957 for a child murder.

Nazi scientists commonly<sup>1</sup> supported their right to experiment on anyone and Dr. von Balluseck, who collaborated with Kinsey on his child sex abuse was a nazi aristocrat,<sup>2</sup> an incest offender who raped and sodomized his own kin, as well as Jewish, Polish and German children for "three decades," beginning roughly in 1927.

**JAR:** Dr. von Balluseck's trial for the murder of 10-year-old Loiselotte Has, "found....naked and throttled....on a piece of wasteland" was widely covered in Germany. The trial was described as "completely unprecedented in the moral history of the post war era" and von Balluseck, "the most important pedophile in the criminal history of Berlin." Balluseck, a Kinsey collaborator, was tried for the abuse of 50 or "more than 100" or, "several hundred" and it was noted that von Balluseck also had sexually violated children for "over the last three decades" (*Frankfurter Allegemane Zeitung*, May 22, 1957). Moreover, his was "The most significant sex trial of Berlin's post war history" (*Faz*, May 16, 1957).

The news of Kinsey's role in this abuse was splashed across the headlines of the largest German newspapers. Judge Berger: "emphasized again and again the important

---

<sup>1</sup> Max Weinreich, *Hitler's Professors: The Part of Scholarship in Germany's Crimes Against the Jewish People*, Yiddish Scientific Institute—Yivo, New York, 1946

<sup>2</sup> A photocopy of Dr.von Balluseck's nazi membership card, dated August 1, 1930, obtained from the German document center, is on file in the author's archive.



function played by the press in warning the public against paedophiles like Balluseck, who approach children as understanding friends and helpers in their sexual need" (*Frankfurter Allegmaine Zeitung*, May 22, 1957). Still, despite the encouraging role of Alfred C. Kinsey "the most famous man for a decade" (1948-1958), ("Biographies" A&E, 1997/8), the USA press was uniformly silent on Kinsey's-role in this explosive trial. It was as if these proceedings of the nazi/German/American collaboration on child sex crimes were censored to protect an international military secret.

**JAR:** According to the Yorkshire Television research department, from 1942 to-1944, Dr. von Balluseck was the Department of Justice District Kreishauptmina, the controller of a small Polish town, Jedrzejow, where Balluseck selected children to sexually assault, warning them, "It is either the gas chamber or me." *The Encyclopedia Judaica*, fully quoted below,<sup>3</sup> reports that all Jedrzejow Jews ended up in the gas chambers. All, including the children, were under the control of Dr. Fritz von Balluseck, Kinsey's war crimes colleague, Kinsey's "technically trained" sex researcher.

**THE ENCYCLOPEDIA JUDAICA 1972: Holocaust Period.** The German army entered on Sept. 4, 1939. In the spring of 1940 an "open" Jewish ghetto was established. In January 1941 about 600 Jews in the vicinity were concentrated in Jedrzejow. During the summer of 1942 another 2,000 Jews were transferred to the town from other towns nearby, increasing the Jewish population to about 6,000. The entire Jewish population was deported in an *Aktion* on Sept. 16, 1942 to Treblinka death camp and only 200 men remained in a camp established inside the former ghetto. In February 1943 all 200 were deported or shot, and Jedrzejow was proclaimed "*Judenrein*."<sup>4</sup> A number of Jews had succeeded in escaping from the ghetto before the *Aktion* took place but only a few survived in hiding: Polish gangs murdered most of them. After the war the Jewish community in Jedrzejow was not renewed. Organizations of former Jedrzejow residents exist in Israel, the U.S.A., Canada, and Argentina. *Encyclopedia Judaica*, 1972.

**Berger** "After a lengthy reading from Balluseck's diaries, Dr. Berger exclaimed: "This is no longer human! What was this all for? To tell Kinsey about?" *Morgenspost*, May 16, 1957 "Perverse Correspondence with the US Sexologist, Kinsey."

**GERMAN NEWS CLIPS RE: BALLUSECK &/OR KINSEY: SOURCE AND DATE INCLUDED**

\* \* \* \*

**The nazis knew and gave him the opportunity to practice his abnormal tendencies in occupied Poland on Polish children, who had to chose between Balluseck and the gas ovens. After the war, the children were dead, but Balluseck lived. (NZ, May 15, 1957).**

<sup>3</sup> The Encyclopedia Judeica, Keter Publishing, Vol. 9, 1972, p. 1310-1311.

<sup>4</sup> *Judenrein*, a German nazi term meant "free of Jews."

Balluseck's career catapulted because he was a fanatical member of the nazi party....he was a nazi Occupational officer in Poland and he abused 10-12 year old girls....he was in the infamous civil administration....By force they took millions of Polish nationals to Germany [for slave labor]. (*Neues Deutschland* (East Berlin), May 17, 1957).

Balluseck....corresponded with the American Kinsey Institute for some time, and had also got books from them which dealt with child sexuality (*Tagespiegel*, October 1, 1957).

[N]ot only did he commit his crimes in Germany, but also during the war as an occupation officer, he committed numerous sexual crimes against Polish girls of between 10 & 14 years old. (*Der Morgen*, May 15, 1957).

Dr. Balluseck.... [recorded measurements] of his crimes committed against children between 9 and 14 years old....in four thick diaries ...of a pseudo-scientific character....while in correspondence with the American sexual researcher Kinsey....about his research results which as he said himself, took place over three decades. (*Frankfurter Allegemaine Zeitung*, May 22, 1957)

**JAR:** The press described the early attempts to “cover up” who Balluseck really was, citing the court description of this powerful attorney as a “shop-worker” and the effort to keep his photograph secret. Post WW II von Balluseck sexually assaulted the eleven-year-old son of a vicar and forced the boy to write down the acts for Kinsey. The following courtroom exchange was reported:

*Judge Berger: “I had the impression that you got to the children in order to impress Kinsey and to deliver him material.”*

*Balluseck: “Kinsey himself asked me for that [asked me to do so]”*

**As a role model for his perverse actions Balluseck named the so-called sexual psychologist Kinsey....**(*Neues Deutschland* (East Berlin), May, 17, 1957).

Today the court has got **four diaries**, and in these diaries, with cynicism and passion, he recorded his crimes against **100 children in the smallest detail**. He sent the detail of his experiences *regularly* to the US sex researcher, Kinsey. The latter was very interested and *kept up a regular and lively correspondence* with Balluseck....(*NZ*, May 15, 1957).

Sharp criticism of American sex researcher by presiding Judge....Heinrich Berger....because of the correspondence between Regierungsrat Dr. Fritz von Balluseck, accused of many counts of sexual crimes, and Kinsey. The presiding judge exclaimed, *“Instead of answering his sordid letters, the strange American*

*scholar should rather have made sure that Mister von Balluseck was put behind bars...*(Morgenpost, May 16, 1957).

The connection with Kinsey, towards whom he'd showed off his crimes, had a disastrous effect on [von Balluseck]...[I]n his diaries he'd stuck in the *letters* from the sex researcher, Kinsey in which he'd been *encouraged to continue his research* .... He had also started relationships....*to expand his researches*. One shivers to think of the lengths he went to (TSP, May 17, 1957)....

*Kinsey had asked the paedophile specifically for material of his perverse actions*. The presiding judge, Dr. Berger noted that it was Kinsey's duty to get Balluseck locked up, instead of corresponding with him. (Berliner Zeitung, May 16, 1957).

**He made statistics of all these experiences and he sent them with comprehensive reports to the American sex researcher, Kinsey. In one reply, which apart from a "thank you," contained the warning "be careful" (or "watch out") Balluseck cut out the signature from this letter, and stuck it in his diary. (TGSP, May 16, 19957).**

**In the diaries, described as volume 1 & 4, he described with pedantic exactness, how he committed his crimes (NZ, May 15, 1957).**

Balluseck had close contact with the so called American sex researcher, Kinsey, *to whom he'd repeatedly and explicitly reported* his perverse crimes. Balluseck had also described those in pedantic detail in his diaries. (NZ, May 15, 1957).

**JAR:** Ordinarily, a university press office would immediately deliver international press mentions of the school (especially damaging information) to the administration. IU and President Wells were already aware of Kinsey's collaboration with Balluseck, as Kinsey Institute Director, Paul Gebhard said, for the FBI had sought Kinsey out for aid and he refused to give the FBI the evidence they knew he had on Balluseck's crimes.

**JAR:** Considering the "pressure" Gebhard claims was applied to Kinsey, it is more than likely that the FBI appealed to IU officials for aid, informing them of Balluseck's child sex crimes and of Kinsey's letters encouraging these crimes. Even after serving his sentence for massive child sex abuse (they claimed a lack of adequate evidence for the murder conviction), Balluseck continued corresponding with Gebhard, the latter indignantly protesting that this "poor paedophile" had trouble obtaining a job after his release from prison.

**JAR:** At a seminar on *The Ethics of Sex Research*, (Masters, Johnson & Kolodny, 1972) Gebhard told the attending learned sexology "experts," that it was ethical to use

child sex data from Balluseck (a man the Kinsey team knew to be a nazi, pedophile, war criminal). No attending sex “expert” challenged the Kinsey team ethics when Gebhard reported they “interviewed” von Balluseck, carefully purging “child” from his report of a “sex murder.”

We [were] amoral at best and criminal at worst....An example of our criminality is our refusal to cooperate with authorities in apprehending a pedophile we had interviewed who was being sought for a sex murder.<sup>5</sup>

Dr. Paul Gebhard

**JAR:** Yorkshire TV Tim Tate’s location of the Nazi pedophile who was Kinsey’s child sexuality expert, sheds light upon the Kinsey team’s World War II draft evasions--none of Kinsey’s healthy research team joined America in defending the USA in that war. On the evidence, Kinsey’s racist call to sterilize American “lower classes” was in keeping with the views of the German-American Bund, a fascist traitorist organization. Kinsey knowingly solicited and used the descriptions of “more than 100” children who Balluseck raped and sodomized, relabeling Balluseck’s brutal, inhuman crimes as “scientific” data.

**JAR:** It is correct to ask if Dr. von Balluseck obtained and possibly photographed the “orgasm” data from the 1,888 boys and 147 girls exterminated in Treblinka. It is correct to ask if these were included in the records which von Balluseck “repeatedly and explicitly” mailed to Alfred Kinsey for his two reports. And it is correct to ask if these war records subsequently informed the continuing sexual revolution which changed American lives, laws and public policies, yielding the current blight of sociosexual disorders visibly crippling and neutering this nation of the people, by the people and for the people.

---

<sup>5</sup> Masters, Johnson and Kolodny, Ed., *Ethical Issues in Sex Therapy and Research*, Little Brown and Company, Boston, 1977, p. 13.

# Kinsey, Rockefeller and the Nazi doctors

*Reisman's latest research on the man who seduced America presents sinister material*

**KINSEY, CRIMES & CONSEQUENCES:**  
*The Red Queen & The Grand Scheme*  
By Judith A. Reisman  
The Institute For Media Education,  
Arlington, Va\*  
326 pages; softcover; US\$24.95

The 1960s' sexual revolution was based on the most elaborate and carefully crafted scientific fraud of this century, writes author Judith Reisman. Early sexologist Alfred C. Kinsey, with his two famous reports just 50 years ago, seemingly legitimized both profligacy and deviancy, and thus established "the sexual licence he [personally] espoused." *Sexual Behaviour in the Human Male* (1948) and its female equivalent (1953) kicked off no-fault divorce, the wife-swapping era, the gay rights movement, classroom sex education, sex "therapy" as a growth industry, explicit imagery in the media and entertainment industry, and an avalanche of pornography and obscenity.

Although Kinsey was a sexual revolutionary, Dr. Reisman contends, he was falsely portrayed by Indiana University where he worked, and the Rockefeller Foundation which funded him, "as just a normal American guy/husband/family man who simply 'discovered' that 'really' most American men commonly engaged in sexually aberrant and outlaw behaviour. Kinsey's 'research' alleged that 10% of American males were homosexual, that all of us were bisexual, that children were sexual from birth and could engage in sexual activity with adults without harm, plus a whole broad spectrum of things taught today in our schools and practised today in courts of law as fact and as true...It was fraud then, it is fraud now and it revolutionized this nation and turned us into Kinsey's [psychological] clones."

His "Grand Scheme" was to eliminate normal families in favour of selective breeding predicated upon racial and sexual eugenics, she charges, and his "sci-

entific conclusions" were concocted to advance it. The reference is to the Red Queen in *Alice in Wonderland* who wanted the sentence (beheading) carried out first and the verdict pronounced afterwards.

Disturbing information about Kinsey's work and private life has been accumulating since his death in 1956 at age 62. (The official cause was pneumonia due to overwork, but his extensive homosexual and sado-masochistic activities were likely contributors.) Dr. Reisman revealed much of it in 1990, for example, in *Kinsey, Sex and Fraud*. Even last year's resolutely non-condemnatory biography by fellow Indiana University scholar James H. Jones—*Alfred C. Kinsey: A Public/Private Life* (Norton)—is replete with gruesomely shocking details.

*Kinsey: Crime and Consequences* cannot be described as non-condemnatory. Its central figure, Dr. Reisman asserts, "fits the classic definition of a sexual psychopath." Had the public known that he "and his male population were sexually abnormal, the popular use of their data to change [our] law, education and public policy would have come to a screeching halt." He was able, however, to blackmail into silence associates who knew about "his extensive use of deviants, his large prison population or, worse, his active child molesters."

Still, she thinks his "findings" should have roused suspicion. "When I first read Kinsey's research, I thought this man is not reporting on America—he's reporting on himself and then projecting that onto the nation. Kinsey prostituted his own wife Clara...into acts of sodomy with fellow 'researchers,' which Kinsey filmed. He seduced his own students at Indiana University—male, not female students. He devised sexual activities with his 'co-workers,' who then became his co-authors. He [personally] engaged in violent sadistic activity, in which he harmed himself terribly... and appears to have died, frankly, as a result of the trauma to his body."

But his famed reports


were carefully phrased to obscure the fact that words like "contacts," "partners" and "sex play" could signify grown men sodomizing children. He was also both racist and cautious about his colleagues, avoiding Jews, blacks and moral traditionalists. Dr. Reisman quotes Kinsey co-author Wardell Pomeroy (*Kinsey and The Institute for Sex Research*, Harper & Row, 1972), on his hiring technique: "As usual...we took his sexual history first...[Then] Kinsey put down his pen and said, 'I don't think you want to work for us.' 'But I do,' the researcher insisted. 'Well, Kinsey observed, 'you have just said that premarital intercourse might lead to later difficulties in marriage, that extramarital relations would break up a marriage, that homosexuality is abnormal, and intercourse with animals is ludicrous. Appar-

*'No man of our century may have contributed so much to the public acceptance of his own private disordered imagination, and harmed America and all of its citizens, as much as Indiana University sexologist Alfred C. Kinsey... America's pioneering sexologist practised what he preached.'*

ently you have all the answers....Why do you want to do research?"

Biographer Jones describes Kinsey as "one of the scholarly eugenicists of pre-WW II" who favoured mass sterilization for the lower classes and selective breeding for the "better classes." Moreover, Judith Reisman emphasizes, the Rockefeller Foundation was early interested in population control and in using the media to popularize it. The Reece Committee, investigating U.S. tax-exempt foundations in 1953-54, concluded that this "plutocratic control" was accomplished by "funding the 'right' university research by the 'right' researchers, then by funding mass media dissemination of the 'right' science data to the public." Kinsey's numbers made him a perfect fit for anyone eager to alter what he would call human "breeding patterns."

Dr. Reisman, a specialist in content analysis studies of written and visual media, lost many family members in the Holocaust.



Author Reisman: *Condemnatory.*

In that context she raises further sinister questions about Kinsey's data. For instance, who was the "lone pedophile," the "elderly gentleman" cited by Kinsey for his sexual molestation of 800 children? Who were "The Children of Table 34" and what became of them? How did Kinsey's "technically trained" observers gain access to the claimed 1,800 American children for illegal genital experiments? "To this day," she observes, "the Kinsey Institute and Indiana University have repeatedly...refused to reveal any names of the subjects or the experimenters." Nor has any one of these children ever come forward, although the institute seems an excellent target for lawsuits.

Even in the destitute 1930s, at the cited rate of a dollar a day, she doubts that children as young as three months were obtainable in such numbers around Bloomington, Indiana. She suggests an ominous but credible alternative: a collaborative link between Kinsey and Nazi Germany, then a police state where such "experimentation" could easily be conducted "as part of an ongoing collegial, cross-cultural, multinational, 'fact-finding' research project." She cites significant links, such as one George Sylvester Viereck, who worked for the German embassy in Washington, D.C., in those years, setting up Nazi front groups, and who is known to have been a Kinsey correspondent. Furthermore, the Rockefeller Foundation was simultaneously funding eugenics projects in Berlin.

Kinsey consistently kept secret his hypotheses and the basic facts upon which his conclusions rested, Dr. Reisman charges. "Neither Kinsey nor any of his team can rightly be termed 'scientists.' Their methodology was not scientific, for it was neither able to be replicated nor validated. Their data was anonymous, forced, secretly altered at will, and fraudulent. With the aid of the elite academic world and institutions and the support of public funds and the social planning foundations, Kinsey and his associates, who served as his own private male harem, conducted thousands of sexual interviews to present a false view of American sexual behaviours."

Amazingly, however, use of Kinsey data as authoritative has never been seriously challenged—until now. It must not continue, Dr. Reisman declares: "There [must] be a full and open public investigation into Kinsey's fraudulent data and its impact upon lawmakers, the military, the church, the press, the academic world, the family and all our institutions."

—Kevin E. Abrams

Vancouver writer Kevin E. Abrams is co-author of *The Pink Swastika: Homosexuality In The Nazi Party*.

*Kinsey, Crimes and Consequences* can be ordered by calling 1-800-837-0544.

**For More Information on R.S.V.P Campaign  
Call, Fax or Write: R.S.V.P. America  
PO Box 1136 • Crestwood, KY 40014  
1-800-287-RSVP  
Fax: (502) 241-1552**

# Author Challenges Kinsey Sex Research

Dr. Alfred Kinsey shocked America in 1948 when he released *Sexual Behavior in the Human Male*, a massive report offering scientific evidence that the sexual habits of Americans were wildly at odds with prevailing moral standards. The impact of his research is such that he is today regarded as the undisputed father of the sexual revolution.

But Kinsey's facts, it now appears, were false. Dr. Judith



Dr. Judith Reisman

Reisman exposes Kinsey's "grand scheme" to fundamentally reshape American sexual standards in the just-

released book, Kinsey: *Crimes and Consequences*.

## What conclusions did Kinsey reach in *Sexual Behavior in the Human Male*?

He concluded that 95 percent of American males engaged in some form of sexual offense and that most males engaged in sex prior to marriage. He also said his findings proved that children were sexual from birth—that little infants could be orgasmic—and that sex education ought to begin as early as possible. He said that almost 17 percent of males were engaged in some form of sexual interaction with animals.

What he really was saying was that every sexual value we had in the United States of America up to 1948 was a lie—our parents were engaged in illicit, illegal sexual activity. There was no harm that came from that, outside of frustration because they were so inhibited that they didn't tell people what they were doing.

He claimed that if we allowed ourselves to admit all of these things that our parents

and grandparents had been doing all along, we would have better marriages in this country. We would have lower rates of venereal disease, fewer out-of-wedlock pregnancies, all our measures of sexual health would increase and our measures of sexual ill health would decrease.

## How did America react?

It was above the fold in almost every newspaper in this country. The scientific community largely embraced him. There were some serious critics, but even the most serious of his critics didn't question him as an aberrant person. They were sure that he had tried to do a scientifically valid study. No one could believe that a sexual psychopath could have created this book.


## And yet his research was fundamentally flawed?

Well, his research was not just significantly flawed, his research was fraudulent. Fraudulent, meaning that it was purposefully deceitful. He made up numbers.

*When we look around us, the sexual psychopathology that radiates everywhere can be seen to be a reflection of Dr. Kinsey.*

## What do we know about the men he interviewed?

If we even say that he had over 4,000 males, then we know that, at minimum, 86 percent of those men were sexual deviants. We know that he admits to having at least 1,400 sex offenders in his research population. I have identified



Corbis

Dr. Alfred Kinsey, far right, works on the manuscript for his *Sexual Behavior in the Human Female* in this 1953 newsphoto. Its original caption proclaimed he had "divulged the scientific facts contained in his new book . . . that, under their 'NEW FREEDOM,' American women are ripping apart the old tradition of chastity before marriage and faithfulness after the ceremony."

just under 1,000, but it certainly could have been significantly more who were homosexuals in the most active sense.

## What do we know about Kinsey's own sexual behavior?

He was a compulsive man who engaged in very sexually destructive conduct. He did these things at a very early age. His biographer now has called him a homosexual. This is a man who seduced those around him into these disordered behaviors.

He, by forming the basis of sex education and therapy in the United States of America, by altering our sex laws and public policies—which he absolutely did by shaping the American Law Institute model penal code on sex offenders—helped to put us where we are in terms of rape, child rape and in terms of general sexual disorder. When we look around us, the sexual psychopathology that radiates everywhere can be seen to be a reflection of Dr. Kinsey.


## The Kinsey Institute has barred you from its archives?

Yes, they certainly have barred me. In fact, they have barred anyone who might in any way be analytical instead of euphoric about what Kinsey has done.

## What do you hope to see happen as a consequence of your book?

I would certainly like to see the Kinsey Institute forced to open its doors. I would want to see all that material made available for examination. There is a great deal hidden in there. There is a lot that could come out of this should the American public be [made] aware and become part of the active movement to expose the Kinsey research. ■

To obtain Kinsey: *Crimes & Consequences* please see the enclosed resources flyer.



## New book exposes Kinsey research

Fifty years ago, Indiana University professor Dr. Alfred C. Kinsey began publishing his research about human sexuality in the U.S., laying the groundwork for the sexual revolution that has brought misery to countless people. But according to a new book, Kinsey's work was based on deception, inept science and even child abuse, and now the record must be set straight.

Dr. Judith Reisman, president of The Institute for Media Education in Arlington, Virginia, has been a consultant to three U.S. Department of Justice administrations. She has been studying Kinsey for 30 years, and her book, *Kinsey: Crimes & Consequences*, is the result.

Kinsey's major theses about the sexual proclivities of Americans were developed by collecting the "sex histories" of thousands of individuals. In 1948, Kinsey published his data collected from men in a book entitled *Sexual Behavior in the Human Male*.

According to Reisman, Kinsey's work claimed to reveal some shocking realities, namely that: 95% of American men violated sex crime laws which would have landed them in jail; 85% had had premarital sex; 69% had patronized prostitutes; 45% had committed adultery; and 17% had had sex with animals. Obviously, "dear old dad" was not the saint that society expected.

Although since discredited numerous times by other studies, Kinsey's research also provided the familiar figure, so often quoted by gay activists, that 10% of people in the U.S. are homosexuals.

The conclusions of Kinsey's research were spread by what Reisman's book calls "a well planned mass media campaign" by the elites who agreed with Kinsey. As a result, his views became accepted and entrenched in the major institutions of American public life.

Reisman, however, demolishes Kinsey and his work. *Kinsey: Crimes & Consequences* reveals his research to be biased, his methodology and statistics to be totally unreliable, and his results skewed to produce the desired outcome.

For example, Reisman demonstrates that "roughly 86% of the 4,120 male population [used in Kinsey's research for *Sexual Behavior in the Human Male*] were sexual deviants," such as homosexuals, sex offenders,

pimps and male prostitutes.

Kinsey considered these deviants to be "average" and thus representative of the rest of American males. Then Kinsey used the



results of these sex histories to extrapolate to the rest of the U.S. adult male population, attributing to it these same sexual habits. In a letter, Kinsey admitted later that he had deliberately covered up the true character of the men in his sexual histories in order to prevent his conclusions from being dismissed.

In his follow-up to the book on male sexuality, Kinsey published *Sexual Behavior in the Human Female*. Again, using data obtained from fringe sectors of society, like strippers, "burlesque performers," and pros-

titutes (even those who had had sex with animals), Kinsey then promoted the resulting sexual histories as being representative of the normal, average American woman.

One of Kinsey's most callous conclusions, according to Reisman, was when he "claimed to have found sexual abuse of young girls to be harmless, claiming that adult women were *never* traumatized by childhood sexual abuse and incest." (Emphasis in original.)

The intellectual fraud committed by Kinsey is outrageous, but it is nothing compared to the monstrous evil performed by his researchers in obtaining information about the sexuality of children – some as young as infants.

According to *Kinsey: Crimes & Consequences*, Reisman documents human sexual experiments that were performed on anywhere between 317 and 2,000 children. These experiments, which will not be described here, were often perpetrated by pedophiles hired as sex researchers.

Reisman concludes, "The time has come to dismantle the elite's 'Grand Scheme' based upon Kinsey's fraudulent model of human sexuality from our national establishments and from the lives of our children. The time is now. It is critical to our national health and survival to restore social virtue and purity to America."

*Kinsey: Crimes & Consequences*, published by The Institute for Media Education, Inc., is available at bookstores or by calling toll-free 1-800-837-0544.

### AFA SUPPORTS PURITY CAMPAIGN

As a result of Kinsey's research and subsequent published material, the foundations of morality and human sexuality as defined by the Judeo-Christian tradition have been largely eroded in the U.S.

In order to launch an effective counterattack, Eunice Ray, president of First Principles Press, and Concerned Women for America (CWA) have co-founded a campaign to make people aware of the findings of Dr. Reisman's research. Restoration of Social Virtue and Purity to America (RSVP America), as it is being called, hopes to make parents, pastors and state legislators familiar with the crimes and deceptions committed by Kinsey and his research team.

AFA has been supportive of this effort even in the earlier stages of Dr. Reisman's research. "From the very beginning, we have deemed it critical for Kinsey's fraudulent and virulent work to be exposed," said AFA vice president Tim Wildmon. "We strongly urge all believers who love the truth to get involved with this campaign."

If you have read *Kinsey: Crimes & Consequences*, and would like to become involved in the RSVP America campaign, call 1-800-458-8797.



# Kinsey's Legal Legacy

America's post-World War II generation lived through the sexual revolution of the 1960s. Now, sadly, most of them are living with the consequences of its devastation: abortion, skyrocketing disease, divorce, and sexual dysfunction. Most Americans are unaware that their nation's moral foundation was supplanted, nor do they appreciate that a deliberate effort was engineered to derail American common law, which was constructed on biblical principles to protect and order society's most important building blocks — marriage and family.

Fifty years ago this month, Indiana University zoologist and Rockefeller grantee Alfred Kinsey, the widely acknowledged "father of the sexual revolution," published his unprecedented report on human sexuality, *Sexual Behavior in the Human Male*. Kinsey's theme of "free love" was reinforced by a well-timed media blitz, and the American public was receptive. For the next decade, Kinsey was one of the nation's most popular celebrities (until his premature death in 1956). Cole Porter's hit song popularized Kinsey's sexual liberating anthem of "Anything Goes." But over the second half of the 20th century, America and the Western world learned the hard lesson that, seductive though it be, free love is not free.

In 1954, Tennessee Congressman B. Carroll Reece could clearly see that revolutionary changes were forming on the horizon of our nation's social landscape, and that a principal source of the change was foundation grants encouraging collectivism and internationalism. When Reece began to investigate Kinsey's report and the background of its funding, he discovered a trail leading back to the Rockefeller Foundation. While the Reece Committee was stopped by a bipartisan effort from further investigation, it did offer the following warning regarding the enlarging mission of social scientists in changing our society:

... that there are no absolutes, that everything is indeterminate, that no standards of conduct, morals, ethics,

and government are to be deemed inviolate, that everything, including basic moral law, is subject to change, and that it is the part of the social scientists to take no principle for granted as a premise in social or juridical reasoning, however fundamental it may hereto have been deemed to be under our Judeo-Christian moral system.



Kinsey: Working for an amoral new order.

Kinsey was a vital agent in the transformation of America. The Russian, German, and French revolutions were all preceded by an embrace of sexual anarchy. In such revolutionary models, marriage is undermined first, then the family, followed by private property and governments. Kinsey facilitated, with the fraudulent data of his "studies," the abandonment of absolutes in the "social or juridical reasoning" of America's "Judeo-Christian moral system."

A recent Kinsey biography by James H. Jones, a Rockefeller grantee and former adviser to the Kinsey Institute, reveals that Kinsey himself was a sado-masochistic homosexual on a perverted mission. Trolling through homosexual bars and nightclubs, Kinsey gathered the subjects for his research, drawing disproportionately from those participating in sexual perversions and

other criminal acts. Those acts were then portrayed by Kinsey as both commonplace and natural. Kinsey's mission, Jones writes in *Alfred Kinsey: A Public/Private Life*, was to free America from Victorian "repression." But his wider goal was an amoral new order — possible only if human life is unhinged from the divine.

Kinsey, like Margaret Sanger and population planners of the early 20th century, was a eugenicist who eschewed biblical standards of morality. According to one Kinsey associate: "Kinsey knew a great deal about the Judeo-Christian tradition and he was indignant about what it had done to our culture."

How did the acceptance of criminal sexual behaviors and perversions begin in America? Kinsey's studies were accepted as "scientific authority" to alter the American common law view of marriage. Life's most intimate and personal act was equated with degenerative behaviors as long as it was done between "consenting adults."

Kinsey found help in his effort from liberal French lawyer Rene Guyon of "sex by age eight or else it's too late" infamy. Dr. Harry Benjamin, an international sexologist and an associate of both Kinsey and Guyon, wrote in the introduction to Guyon's 1948 book *Sexual Ethics*:

Many ... sex activities, illegal and immoral, but widely practiced, are recorded by both investigators ... Guyon speaking as a philosopher, and Kinsey, judging merely by empirical data ... [upset] our most cherished conventions. Unless we want to close our eyes to the truth or imprison 95% of our male population, we must completely revise our legal and moral codes.... It probably comes as a jolt to many, even open-minded people, when they realize that chastity cannot be a virtue because it is not a natural state.

With such philosophical inspiration, Guyon developed a deconstructed legal theory, fortifying it with Kinsey's "scien-

tific" data. It was put into the hands of legal radicals like Morris Ernst, an advocate for the new sexual order, who handled revolutionary cases in his war against the American legal order.

Ernst was well credentialed as a legal radical for his service as the American Civil Liberties Union (ACLU) attorney for Alfred Kinsey, the Kinsey Institute, the Sex Information and Education Council of the United States (SIECUS), and Planned Parenthood of America. He had close ties to Supreme Court Justices Brandeis, Brennan, and Frankfurter, and Judge Learned Hand — all influential progressives in moving American law away from the absolute "Judeo-Christian moral system" which protected the sanctity of life, marriage, and family.

In Ernst's 1948 book *American Sexual Behavior and the Kinsey Report*, Kinsey colleague Robert Dickinson noted that "an era of hush-and-pretend in the life of our nation may end" through Kinsey's *Sexual Behavior in the Human Male* and that "virtually every page

of the Kinsey Report touches on some section of the legal code ... a reminder that the law, like ... our social pattern, falls lamentably short of being based on a knowledge of facts."

Ernst explained in *Scientific Monthly* why the Kinsey reports were making major inroads in changing American law: "[R]ecently law has reached for scientific tools to aid in its search for truth.... I now say that the Kinsey Report is the single greatest contribution of science to the rule-making part of the law in my lifetime.... The Kinsey Report broke through a mass of taboo."

Ernst advised that every bar association in the country "should establish a Committee on the Laws of Sexual Behavior and consider its own State's legal system in this field...." Soon Committees were established with funding from the Rockefeller Foundation in an effort to overturn the American way of life.

In 1955, the Model Penal Code was completed under the auspices of the Carnegie- and Rockefeller-seeded American Law Institute (ALI), the education arm of the American Bar Association. This "model" was then submitted to state

legislatures for their consideration, with plenty of authoritative support for its implementation provided by Kinsey's flawed scientific analysis. Adoption of the Model Penal Code eliminated and/or trivialized prior sex offenses, *eventually aiding the reduction* of penalties for abortion, rape, wife and child battery, desertion, seduction, adultery, prostitution, contributing to the delinquency of a minor, soliciting for masturbation, sodomy, public sexual exhibitions, "unfit" parentage, alienation of affection, and obscenity, as well as infanticide, premeditated AIDS/STD transmission, etc.

At the very time the ALI's Model Penal Code was being developed, there was

been apprehended. This recognition that there is nothing very shocking or abnormal in the sex offender's behavior should lead to other changes in sex legislation.... Penalties should be lightened. In the first place, it should lead to a downward revision of the penalties presently imposed on sex offenders.

Biographer James Jones reports that Kinsey died believing that his crusade to promote more enlightened sexual attitudes had not succeeded. Yet in 1957, a year after Kinsey's death, the Supreme Court in *Roth v. U.S.*, a case handled by Ernst, relaxed the once protective American legal definition of obscenity. In 1961, Illinois became the first state to repeal its sodomy statute, and today less than half of the states retain sodomy statutes. In 1973, Dr. Mary Calderone, a leading Kinseyan, was cited in the *Roe v. Wade* decision which legalized abortion. Since *Roe* a staggering 34 million babies have been aborted. Also in 1973, the

---

---

**Trolling through homosexual bars and nightclubs, Kinsey gathered the subjects for his research, drawing disproportionately from those participating in sexual perversions and other criminal acts.**

---

---

a growing public outcry for tightening, not loosening, sexual psychopath laws. But respected magistrate Morris Plascowe, the model code's principal author, argued (based on Kinsey's findings of course) that "When a total clean-up of sex offenders is demanded, it is, in effect, a proposal to put 95 percent of the male population in jail.... Of the total male population 85 percent has had pre-marital intercourse...."

As America's common law was supplanted, legal penalties were "lightened" and new sentencing guidelines were developed. For example, prior to Kinsey rape was extremely serious, a death sentence being required in three states and life in prison in over 18 states. But Plascowe introduced to the legal profession what Kinsey and Guyon had certainly envisioned:

One of the conclusions of the Kinsey report is that the sex offender is not a monster ... but an individual who is not very different from others in his social group, and that his behavior is similar to theirs. The only difference is that others in the offender's social group have not

American Psychiatric Association removed homosexuality from its list of psychopathologies, and in 1995, pedophilia was removed. Today, Kinsey's fingerprints are all over the current literature of law, medicine, and the social sciences. For example, in Westlaw, a database of the major national law journals, during the period 1982-96, 499 authors cite Kinsey versus 71 citations for the more recent Kinseyans, Masters and Johnson. In the *Science Citation and Social Science Citation Indices*, Kinsey rates thousands of listings, twice as many as Freud.

Continued belief in and use of Kinsey's data may be viewed as a contributing factor to the current exhaustion of our criminal justice system. Authorities who permit the killing of the unborn and release sadistic rapists/murderers back into society, to typically repeat their crimes, represent a system adrift in an amoral abyss and bent on anarchy and national destruction. ■

— COL. RONALD D. RAY, USMC (RET.)

*Col. Ray, a former Deputy Assistant Secretary of Defense, is the author of Military Necessity and Homosexuality. In writing this article, the author largely drew from Dr. Judith Reisman's definitive book on Kinsey, which is scheduled for release in early 1998.*

**For More Information on R.S.V.P Campaign**  
**Call, Fax or Write:** **RSVP America**  
**1400 237 ROAD**  
**FAIR (502) 241-1552**